

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/705,242	LLOYD ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Sangwoo Ahn	2166	

All Participants:

(1) Sangwoo Ahn.

(2) Larry S. Nixon (Reg. No. 25,640).

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____

(4) _____

Date of Interview: 4 February 2008

Time: 5:25PM

Type of Interview:

☒ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Restriction Requirement

Claims discussed:

108 - 123

Prior art documents discussed:

N/A

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.



(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Examiner explained to Mr. Nixon that the newly added claims 108 - 123 contain the same subject matter recited in the previously restricted claims (84 - 101) which have been canceled. Examiner and Mr. Nixon acknowledged that Invention I (claims 1-16,18-30,32-39,41-73,77-79,102-107,124-125) will be examined while Invention II (claims 108-123) is withdrawn from consideration, but once any generic claim is found allowable, the patentably distinct Invention II comprising claims 108-123 are also allowable.